Effects of photowashing treatment on electrical properties of an AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistor

Kyoung Jin Choi, Chang Min Jeon, Ho Won Jang, and Jong-Lam Lee

Citation: *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B* **20**, 1574 (2002); doi: 10.1116/1.1491554

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.1491554>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/avs/journal/jvstb/20/4?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the AVS: Science & Technology of Materials, Interfaces, and Processing

Articles you may be interested in

Thermal distributions of surface states causing the current collapse in unpassivated AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistors

Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 012106 (2005); 10.1063/1.1844610

Localized variations in electronic structure of AlGaIn/GaN heterostructures grown by molecular-beam epitaxy

Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 2749 (2001); 10.1063/1.1410342

Chemistry and electrical properties of surfaces of GaN and GaN/AlGaIn heterostructures

J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **19**, 1675 (2001); 10.1116/1.1383078

Polarization effects, surface states, and the source of electrons in AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field effect transistors

Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 250 (2000); 10.1063/1.126940

Trap characterization by gate-drain conductance and capacitance dispersion studies of an AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistor

J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 8070 (2000); 10.1063/1.373499

A PASSION FOR PERFECTION

PFEIFFER VACUUM

HiPace® M magnetically levitated turbopumps

- Simple installation
- Long service life
- Innovative bearing system

Are you looking for a perfect vacuum solution?
Please contact us!

Effects of photowashing treatment on electrical properties of an AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistor

Kyoung Jin Choi, Chang Min Jeon, Ho Won Jang, and Jong-Lam Lee^{a)}

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Kyungbuk 790-784, Korea

(Received 6 March 2002; accepted 13 May 2002)

The effects of photowashing treatment on the electrical properties of an AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistor were studied by observing changes in atomic composition and band bending at the surface of AlGaIn through synchrotron radiation photoemission spectroscopy. The surface treatment produced group-III oxides on the surface of AlGaIn, leaving N vacancies behind. Both the gate leakage current (I_{GD}) and drain current (I_{DS}) simultaneously decreased after the treatment. The decrease of I_{GD} was due to a delay in movement of the electrons, namely, trapping and detrapping. The trapped electrons reduced the effective channel thickness, and led to the reduction of I_{DS} .

© 2002 American Vacuum Society. [DOI: 10.1116/1.1491554]

AlGaIn/GaN heterostructures have made III nitrides suitable for high power and high temperature transistors. The excellent microwave performance of AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistors (HFETs) was demonstrated.^{1,2} Because of the inherent chemical stability of GaN, device fabrication processes that involve the AlGaIn/GaN materials system have usually relied on dry etching which is known to damage surfaces and introduce charge trapping centers on etched surfaces.³ Surface damage induced during a recessed gate process in the fabrication of HFETs especially could drastically reduce the gate breakdown voltage unless very low plasma power is used.⁴

Ultraviolet (UV)-assisted wet etching of group-III nitrides, such as GaN and AlGaIn, was actively studied due to the low amount of physical damage introduced onto etched surfaces in the gate recess etching process.^{5,6} Previously, the photoluminescence intensity on the surface of GaAs sharply increased after photowashing treatment.⁷ This was explained by a reduction in the surface state density that causes electron-hole recombination. However, exposing the surface of group-III nitrides to UV illumination could change materials properties such as the concentration of surface states and the Fermi level position at the group-III nitride surface because of different photon-induced chemical reaction at surfaces of GaAs and group-III nitrides. Until now, no results were, however, reported on the type of point defect related to such surface states and to resultant changes in the electrical properties of HFETs.

In this work, the changes in atomic composition and surface states at the surface of AlGaIn caused by photowashing treatment were investigated by synchrotron radiation photoemission spectroscopy (SRPES). The effect of surface treatment on the electrical properties of AlGaIn/GaN HFETs was examined by both current-voltage (I - V) and transconductance (G_m) dispersion measurements. From these, the origin of changes in electrical properties caused by the photowashing treatment was proposed.

The undoped AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure used in this work was grown by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) on a sapphire substrate. An undoped GaN buffer layer with a thickness of 1.2 μm was grown, followed by the growth of 270-Å-thick undoped $\text{Al}_{0.25}\text{Ga}_{0.75}\text{N}$. The electron mobility and sheet concentration were respectively, 510 cm^2/Vs and $3 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2$, determined by Hall measurements.

In the fabrication of the AlGaIn/GaN HFET, an active region was defined using Cl_2 inductively coupled plasma. Ti/Al ohmic contacts were deposited by an electron beam evaporator, followed by rapid thermal annealing at 700 °C for 1 min. A 1.0 μm gate pattern was formed with image reversal lithography and a 0.1- μm -thick Pt gate was then deposited on top of the undoped AlGaIn layer.

The device fabricated was photowashed by dipping it into de-ionized water under an UV illumination. An unfiltered Hg arc lamp with an intensity of 10 mW/cm^2 provided uniform illumination over the entire surface of the device. The chemical composition at the surface of AlGaIn was characterized using SRPES. In order to obtain depth information on the atomic composition, the take-off angle θ , defined as the angle of photoelectron emission to the surface, was changed from 10° to 90°.

Figure 1(a) shows the change in gate-to-drain leakage current (I_{GD}) with the photowashing treatment. The measurement was carried out with the source floated, that is, a two-terminal condition. I_{GD} decreased significantly after being photowashed for 10 min. The drain current (I_{DS}) also decreased, as shown in Fig. 1(b), taking into consideration the shift of pinch-off voltage (V_p) towards higher gate voltage (V_{GS}). These results show that surface states that cause the change in electrical properties were produced during the photowashing treatment.

Figure 2 shows the change in G_m dispersion of the HFET with the treatment. The values were normalized to the value measured at 1 Hz. The gate and drain biases used were -2.0 and 6.0 V, respectively. Before the treatment, G_m was nearly independent of the frequency, but it decreased after the treatment, namely, negative G_m dispersion.

^{a)}Electronic mail: jlllee@postech.ac.kr

FIG. 1. Change in I - V characteristics with photowashing treatment: (a) I_{GD} vs V_{GD} and (b) I_{DS} vs V_{GS} .

The negative G_m dispersion could be interpreted by electron trapping at the surface states on the ungated region between the gate and source/drain electrodes.⁸ In other words, during the negative half cycle of the sinusoidal signal, electrons are emitted from the gate to the ungated surface region. The electrons emitted can be captured by surface states on the ungated surface. At frequencies lower than the emission rate of an electron from the trap, electrons trapped at surface states are capable of following the sinusoidal signal applied.

FIG. 2. Change in transconductance dispersion of the AlGaIn/GaN HFET before and after photowashing treatment.

FIG. 3. Photoemission spectra of Ga 3d and Al 2p before and after a 10 min treatment at $\theta = 90^\circ$.

Thus, the depletion region under the ungated surface as well as that under the gate is fully modulated by the signal applied. At higher frequencies, however, trapped electrons are unable to follow the signal and the charges held at surface states will be frozen. Thus, G_m at higher frequencies becomes small, as shown in Fig. 2. From this, it is suggested that the photowashing treatment produced surface states at the ungated surface.

Figure 3 displays Ga 3d and Al 2p photoemission spectra at the surface of AlGaIn. The spectra before the treatment showed an asymmetric shape, which means another bond was superimposed on the peaks. Considering full widths at half maximum (FWHMs) and binding energies of chemical bonds, Ga-O and Al-O bonds could be separated from the Ga 3d and Al 2p spectra, respectively. After the treatment, the FWHM of each peak became broad, which is due to the increase of the oxide peaks. Note that even though the Al mole fraction in the AlGaIn layer is only 25%, the increase of the Al-O bond was more significant than that of the Ga-O bond. This can be explained using the Gibbs free energy change for the formation of each oxide. Namely, $-\Delta G_{298}$ in

FIG. 4. Change in valence band spectra with photowashing treatment.

TABLE I. Atomic concentration of each bond at the surface of AlGaIn with θ and with the photowashing (PW) treatment.

	θ	Ga-N	Al-N	N-Ga	N-Al	Ga-O	Al-O	C 1s	O 1s
Before	90° (%)	24.9	8.1	21.2	4.7	4.8	1.5	29.9	4.9
$(\text{Ga}_{\text{Ga-N}} + \text{Al}_{\text{Al-N}})/(\text{N}_{\text{N-Ga}} + \text{N}_{\text{N-Al}}) = 1.27$									
PW	20° (%)	15.3	5.8	13.7	6.1	2.3	1.7	43.8	11.4
$(\text{Ga}_{\text{Ga-N}} + \text{Al}_{\text{Al-N}})/(\text{N}_{\text{N-Ga}} + \text{N}_{\text{N-Al}}) = 1.06$									
After	90° (%)	18.4	10.5	19.8	5.7	6.5	6.9	23.0	9.3
$(\text{Ga}_{\text{Ga-N}} + \text{Al}_{\text{Al-N}})/(\text{N}_{\text{N-Ga}} + \text{N}_{\text{N-Al}}) = 1.14$									
PW	20° (%)	15.2	6.9	12.7	5.4	3.3	9.3	29.8	17.3
$(\text{Ga}_{\text{Ga-N}} + \text{Al}_{\text{Al-N}})/(\text{N}_{\text{N-Ga}} + \text{N}_{\text{N-Al}}) = 1.22$									

the reaction of $2\text{Al} + 3/2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is 1584 kJ/mol larger than the 992 kJ/mol of $2\text{Ga} + 3/2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$.

The binding energies in Ga-N and Al-N bonds increased by ~ 1.0 eV as seen in Fig. 3. This means that the Fermi level at the surface of AlGaIn was elevated by the same magnitude toward the conduction band edge. The increase in the Fermi level position by the treatment is directly confirmed by the valence band spectra in Fig. 4. The difference in energy between the valence band edge and Fermi level increased about 1.1 eV after the treatment. This agrees well with the result in Fig. 3. The increase in the Fermi level means a reduction of band bending below the AlGaIn surface.

In order to obtain depth information on atomic compositions at the surface of AlGaIn, θ was varied. At a smaller take-off angle, the intensity of photoelectrons emitting from the surface becomes dominant due to the inelastic mean-free path of photoelectrons. The atomic concentrations of Ga-N, Al-N, N-Ga, and N-Al bonds were determined using the peak area and the atomic sensitivity factor of each element, summarized in Table I. The ratio of $(\text{Al}_{\text{Al-N}} + \text{Ga}_{\text{Ga-N}})/(\text{N}_{\text{N-Ga}} + \text{N}_{\text{N-Al}})$ increased with the photowashing treatment at $\theta = 20^\circ$. This means that the AlGaIn surface became N deficient and a number of N vacancies⁹ V_N were produced by the photowashing treatment.

The decreases in both I_{GD} and I_{DS} can be explained by the delay in the movement of electrons by trapping and detrapping mechanisms taking the results of G_m dispersion and SRPES measurements into consideration. In the absence of surface states, electrons ejected into the bulk and/or the ungated surface under negative gate bias¹⁰ are swept directly into the drain. After the photowashing treatment, however, electrons ejected were captured by surface states and drifted toward the drain through the repetition of trapping and detrapping processes. This resulted in the decrease of I_{GD} shown in Fig. 1(a). In addition, it is known that electrons trapped at surface states play a role in increasing the depletion layer width to maintain charge balance.⁸ This leads to the decrease in I_{DS} via the reduction in the density of two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) at the interface of AlGaIn with GaN, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

The decrease in the density of 2DEG may be attributed to the decrease in the thickness of AlGaIn layer through the formation of the photowashing-induced oxide, because the 2DEG density increases with the thickness of AlGaIn due to

the effects of piezoelectric and spontaneous polarization.¹¹ The oxide thickness can be estimated using the inelastic mean-free path of photoelectrons λ , defined as the escape probability of photoelectrons which decreases to $1/e$ with respect to the probability at the surface. The λ of Al 2p photoelectrons in Al_2O_3 was determined to be about 10 Å using the relationship, $\lambda = 2170/E^2 + 0.72a^{1.5}E^{0.5}$, where E is the kinetic energy of Al 2p photoelectrons and a is the monolayer thickness of Al_2O_3 .¹² The integral intensity of the Al-O peak is about 0.47 in comparison with that of the Al-N peak, shown in Fig. 3, meaning that the Al-oxide thickness corresponds to 20 Å.¹³ Thus the photowashing-induced oxide thickness is not sufficient to reduce I_{DS} , as shown in Fig. 1(b). From this, it is suggested that the photowashing treatment produced a number of V_N at the AlGaIn surface, and that it plays a major role in reducing the I_{DS} of HFETs.

In summary, both I_{GD} and I_{DS} simultaneously decreased after photowashing treatment on an AlGaIn/GaN HFET. The treatment generated group-III oxide on the surface of AlGaIn, leaving surface states behind in the ungated surface region, which was seen by the negative G_m dispersion. The surface states generated by the photowashing treatment were suggested to be N vacancies, based upon the angle dependence of the atomic composition and the increase in binding energy in each element. The decrease of I_{GD} was explained by a delay in the movement of electrons, namely, trapping and detrapping. The trapped electrons reduced the effective channel thickness, leading to the reduction of I_{DS} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was performed through project for “National Research Laboratory” sponsored by the Korea Institute of Science and Technology Evaluation and Planning (KISTEP).

¹V. Kumar, W. Lu, F. A. Khan, R. Schwindt, A. Kuliev, G. Simin, J. Yang, M. A. Khan, and I. Adesida, *Electron. Lett.* **38**, 252 (2002).

²Y.-F. Wu, D. Kapolnek, J. P. Ibbetson, P. Parikh, B. P. Keller, and U. K. Mishra, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **ED-48**, 586 (2001).

³E. D. Haberer, C.-H. Chen, A. Abare, M. Hansen, S. DenBaars, L. Coldren, U. Mishra, and E. L. Lu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **76**, 3941 (2000).

⁴C.-H. Chen, S. Keller, E. D. Haberer, L. Zhang, S. P. DenBaars, E. L. Hy, and Y. Wu, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* **17**, 2755 (1999).

⁵H. Maher, D. W. Disanto, G. Soerensen, C. R. Bolognesi, H. Tand, and J. B. Webb, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **77**, 3833 (2000).

⁶C. Youtsey, I. Adesida, and G. Bulman, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **71**, 2151 (1997).

- ⁷C. W. Wilmsen, P. D. Kirchner, J. M. Baker, D. T. McInturff, G. D. Pettit, and J. M. Woodall, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* **6**, 1180 (1988).
- ⁸K. J. Choi and J.-L. Lee, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **ED-48**, 190 (2001).
- ⁹C. G. Van de Walle, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 10020 (1997).
- ¹⁰T. M. Barton and P. H. Ladbroke, *Solid-State Electron.* **29**, 807 (1986).
- ¹¹J. P. Ibbetson, P. T. Fini, K. D. Ness, S. P. DenBaars, J. S. Speck, and U. K. Mishra, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **77**, 250 (2000).
- ¹²*Surface Analysis Methods in Materials Science*, edited by D. J. O'Connor, B. A. Sexton, and R. C. Smart (Springer, Berlin, 1992), pp. 22–25.
- ¹³*Handbook of X-ray and Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, edited by D. Griggs (Heyoen, London, 1977), pp. 158–159.