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We demonstrate the use of graphene based transparent sheets as a p-type current spreading layer in GaN light emitting diodes (LEDs). Very thin Ni/Au was inserted between graphene and p-type GaN to reduce contact resistance, which reduced contact resistance from ~5.5 to ~0.6Ω cm², with no critical optical loss. As a result, LEDs with metal-graphene provided current spreading and injection into the p-type GaN layer, enabling three times enhanced electroluminescent intensity compared with those with graphene alone. We confirmed very strong blue light emission in a large area of the metal-graphene layer by analyzing image brightness. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3595941]

Low resistance, transparent Ohmic contact to p-type GaN is crucial to improve current injection and light extraction efficiency for the realization of solid-state lighting using GaN-based blue light emitting diodes (LEDs).1 Ni-, Au- or Pt-based metal electrodes have been used to reduce contact resistance.2,3 However, due to the difficulty in achieving heavily doped p-type GaN, current spreading over a large area cannot be achieved by the use of these opaque metal electrodes. Alternatively, tin-doped indium oxide (ITO) has been employed as a transparent conducting electrode for p-type GaN.4 Despite the improvement in device performance with ITO-based transparent p-type contacts, the exclusive use of these oxides becomes increasingly problematic due to the cost and dwindling supply of indium, chemical instability in the presence of acids or bases, and low optical transmittance in the ultraviolet and blue wavelength regions.4

Recently, ultrathin graphene sheets have attracted great attention as alternative transparent conducting electrodes to ITO due to their excellent conductivity, good transparency, and chemical stability. However, the use of bare graphene as a window electrode in p-type GaN poses intrinsic limitations for current injection due to its work function (~4.5eV), which is smaller than p-type GaN (~7.5eV).5 Herein, our approach to overcome this problem involves inserting very thin metal layers between graphene and p-type GaN; the graphene in this case provides efficient current spreading while thin metal layers lead to improved contact properties without significant optical loss. The resulting GaN-based blue LEDs showed high performance with strong blue light emission over a large area of the metal/graphene (M/Gr) layer.

Figure 1 shows schematic diagrams of GaN-based LEDs fabricated using three types of p-type electrodes; Ni/Au finger stripes (Type I), finger stripes covered with graphene (Type II), and finger stripes deposited with a thin metal layer and graphene (Type III). GaN-based epitaxial layers consisting of undoped GaN, Si-doped n-GaN, InGaN/GaN multiple-quantum-wells (MQWs), and Mg-doped p-GaN were grown on sapphire (0001) substrates by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). After chemical etching with aqua regia, Ni/Au (10 nm/30 nm) finger stripes were fabricated on p-type GaN layers by photolithography and metal evaporation. The line width and spacing of the stripes were 80μm and 2500μm, respectively. To make n-type Ohmic contact electrodes, the GaN epilayers were etched via an inductively coupled plasma process with BC13/Cl₂ source gases until n-type GaN layers were exposed. This was followed by deposition of Ti/Al (10 nm/30 nm) layers. After fabricating these samples (Type I), fabrication of the Type II device was completed by transferring a single layer of graphene onto the Ni/Au stripes. In parallel, a Type III device was also achieved by inserting very thin metal (Ni or Ni/Au) layer between the graphene and p-type GaN layer. In this way, single-layer graphene films were grown on copper foils (25μm thick) by CVD at 1000°C for 30 min under methane [35 SCCM (SCCM denotes cubic centimeter per minute at STP)] and H₂ [2 SCCM] flow.6 Graphene sheet resistance was measured to be in the range of 100 – 200Ω/square. As-grown graphene sheets were capped with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and were detached from the copper foils with diluted ammonium persulfate acid before being transferred to the target device surfaces. After removing the PMMA support with acetone, all devices were annealed at 300 °C for 1 min under a nitrogen ambient in a rapid thermal annealing system.

To evaluate the quality and number of graphene layers, Raman spectroscopy was performed with an
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excitation wavelength of 514 nm. Figure 2(a) shows clear G (1582 cm$^{-1}$) and two-dimensional (2D) bands (2678 cm$^{-1}$) with an extremely small disorder-induced D band (1360 cm$^{-1}$), demonstrating the high structural quality of the graphene films. The graphene was verified to be predominantly single-layer by a higher 2D peak intensity than that of the G band with a 2D-to-G intensity ratio of $I_{2D}/I_G$ of $\sim$2, and a single Lorentzian profile of the 2D band with a narrow full width at half-maximum of $\sim$41 cm$^{-1}$. Indeed, the optical absorption by graphene is below 2.5% [black line in Fig. 2(b)], which is quite close to theoretical value for a graphene monolayer $\rho_{\text{ graphene}} = \frac{\pi e^2 \hbar c}{\pi e^2 \hbar c} \approx 2.3\%$. We also investigated the decrease in optical transmittance by inserting a thin metal layer below graphene [Fig. 2(b)]. Indeed, transmittance of visible light monotonously decreases with increasing metal layer thickness. For both metal and metal/graphene layers, the average transmittance decreases with increasing metal layer thickness. For both metal and metal/graphene layers, the average transmittance shows a linear dependence on metal thickness with a negative slope of $(\sim 10\%/\mu m)$, and metal thickness is more critical than graphene in optical loss. However, the transmittance of M/Gr is still $\sim$90% for Ni (0.5 nm)/graphene and $\sim$78% for Ni/Au (1 nm/1 nm)/graphene, which suggests the potential use of graphene-based materials as window electrodes in LEDs.

While the optical transmittance is critical to efficient light extraction, the contact resistance is also important to improve the device performance (in terms of current injection without current crowding). The specific contact resistances of graphene and metal/graphene to p-type GaN were determined using a transmission line model (TLM) measurement [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)]. To fabricate the TLM test structures, graphene and metal/graphene layers were deposited onto chemically etched p-type GaN, thermally annealed at 300 °C for 1 min, and deposited with square metal pads (100μm × 100μm) with variable space between the pads. Finally, by using the square metal pads as an etching mask, O$_2$ plasma etching (30 W, 60 s) produced TLM structures consisting of square pads of graphene [Fig. 2(c)] and metal/graphene [Fig. 2(d)] on p-GaN. The current-voltage (I-V) characteristic curves of graphene contacts on p-GaN are nonlinear and a contact resistance of $\sim$50 Ω cm$^{-2}$ is deduced from the intercept of the plot of resistance versus distance. This value is significantly higher than those of conventional metal contacts and is still higher than that of ITO contact to p-GaN. However, by inserting a thin Ni/Au (1 nm/1 nm), we achieved a linear I-V characteristic behavior with a low sheet resistance below 0.6Q / cm$^{-2}$ [Fig. 2(d)]. This result indicates that, despite the optical loss due to the metal, the hybrid of metal and graphene is more suitable for transparent Ohmic contact to p-GaN than graphene alone.

Figure 3 compares the device characteristics of GaN LEDs consisting of three different kinds of p-contact electrodes (which correspond to Type I, II, and III devices in Fig. 1). Several key features are noted. First, all devices exhibited clear rectifying I-V behaviors with a turn-on voltage of approximately 2.5 V. Small reverse bias leakage currents (below $\sim$1μA) were occasionally observed, which might be caused by unintentional defects in the GaN epilayers or in the interfacial regions. However, taking into account that the leakage current increases markedly with increasing electrode size, the small leakage current in our large devices (0.6 cm × 0.8 cm) is promising. Second, when a voltage larger than the turn-on voltage is applied, an abrupt increase in current and the onset of light emission were observed. The current and electroluminescent (EL) intensity of Type II LEDs (graphene sheet on metal stripes) measured with a forward voltage of 3 V is close to those of the Type I LED (metal stripes). On the other hand, Type III LEDs consisting of Ni/Au/graphene p-contact electrodes showed enhanced
performance in terms of current injection and light emission, which is consistent with the result of contact resistance measurements [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)]. It is also noted that, even in Type III LEDs, luminescence intensity is affected by metal thickness. To a certain extent, increase in metal thickness enhanced contact but involves optical loss.11 As such, there is room for significant improvement by finding the optimal thickness of intermediate metal layers. Third, despite the differences in EL intensity, all samples exhibited similar EL spectra with a dominant emission peak at 446 nm, and no noticeable deep level emissions were observed [Fig. 4(c)].

Interestingly, EL intensity of Type III LED measured at 3 V was approximately four times stronger than those of Type I and II LEDs, although the current for Type III LEDs was increased by only ~25% – 65% relative to Type I and II LEDs. Such an observation agrees well with the photographs shown in Fig. 1 and reflects the different current spreading and injection behaviors among the three types of p-contact electrodes. To clarify this point, we more thoroughly investigated the luminescence profiles across two adjacent metal stripes of the LEDs. Micrograph of optical emission from Type I LED and a corresponding brightness profile [Fig. 4(a)] revealed an abrupt (exponential) decrease in the emission intensity with distance from the metal stripe edges, which represents the inevitable current crowding under the opaque metal stripes. The introduction of graphene slightly improved the current spreading characteristic but a large amount of contact resistance at the graphene and p-GaN interface still prevented uniform current injection over the large area [Fig. 4(b)]. On the other hand, in the Type III LED, we observed clear blue light emission over the large area with a relatively uniform EL intensity profile [Fig. 4(c)]. Finally, we have also fabricated GaN LED by using thin Ni/Au (1 nm/1 nm) layer as a window electrode to test current spreading characteristic of the thin metal layer. In this device, the introduction of thin metal layer rather resulted in ~30% loss of EL intensity compared with Type I LED. Since this ultrathin metal layer might form three-dimensional metal islands rather than 2D continuous film, it became highly resistive.

In conclusion, we fabricated GaN LEDs with very thin metal/graphene electrodes as a transparent and current injection layer in p-type GaN. By inserting a very thin metal (Ni/Au) layer between monolayer graphene and p-type GaN, contact resistance was reduced from 5.5 to 0.6Ω cm⁻² while optical transmittance exceeded ~78% for visible light. Due to the improvement in current spreading and injection characteristics, the GaN LEDs incorporated with metal/graphene electrodes demonstrated uniform blue light emission over a large area (0.6 cm × 0.8 cm).

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) EL intensity and current (inset) vs voltage curves for GaN LEDs.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Micrographs of optical emission from three types of GaN LEDs, overlapped with brightness profiles across two adjacent finger stripes.
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